

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,370	09/26/2001	Hua Chung	APPM/6303/CPI/COPPER/PJS 6507  EXAMINER	
7	590 11/28/2005			
Patent Counse			FULLER, ERIC B	
Applied Materi P.O. Box 450-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Santa Clara, CA 95052			1762	_
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/200:	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			X
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/965,370	CHUNG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Eric B. Fuller	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and the period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron.  eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON estatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	15 September 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	s
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-42 and 44-69</u> is/are pending	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-42 and 44-69</u> is/are rejected	d.		•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	, =		d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> </ul>	nents have been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	,	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/965,370

Art Unit: 1762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendments have overcome the rejections of the previous Office

Action. These rejections have been withdrawn accordingly. Applicant's arguments are
most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9-31, 33-42, and 44-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lopatin et al. (US 6,368,954 B1) in view of Lopatin et al. (US 6,174,799 B1).

Lopatin ('954) teaches a process of forming a barrier layer of tantalum, tungsten, or titanium, and nitrides or silicides thereof (column 5, lines 19-25). The barrier layer is formed by ALD to a thickness of 20-300 angstroms (column 5, lines 29-31). Two copper seed layers are taught and are formed by ALE or CVD (column 5, line 40 - column 6, line 54). The bulk copper layer is deposited by CVD (column 6, lines 55-67). The reference is silent to the seed layer comprising other metals besides copper.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,370

Art Unit: 1762

However, Lopatin ('799) teaches that copper seed layers alloyed with aluminum or magnesium decreases electro-migration (abstract; column 3, lines 1-15). A nitrogen grading is additionally responsible for increased adhesion (abstract; column 3, lines 1-15). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the constituents of the seed layer of Lopatin ('799) in the process taught by Lopatin ('954). By doing so, one would reap the benefits of the bulk copper layer having better adhesion to the barrier layer and decreased electromigration.

As to claims 31, 42, and 44-69, the claims read "less than <u>about</u> 20 angstroms" (emphasis added). It is the position of the examiner that 20.00001 is "about 20 angstroms". Since 20 is less than 20.00001, the reference anticipates "less than about 20 angstroms".

Claims 31, 32, 42, and 44-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lopatin et al. (US 6,368,954 B1) in view of Lopatin et al. (US 6,174,799 B1), as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Tsai et al. (US 6,309,964 B1).

Lopatin, in view of Lopatin, teach the limitations above. In the event the applicant does not agree with the examiner's position that 20 angstroms reads on "less than about 20 angstroms", the examiner has provided Tsai. Tsai teaches barrier layers of the same material being effective at a thickness of 10 angstroms (column 3, lines 40-46). It would be apparent to one of ordinary skill that this would use less material and/or

Application/Control Number: 09/965,370

Art Unit: 1762

would decrease the size of the semiconductor. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use a thickness of 10 angstroms for the barrier layer in the process taught by Lopatin. By doing so, one would reap the benefits of using less material and/or creating a smaller semiconductor, while still producing an effective barrier layer. This also reads on claim 32.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric B. Fuller whose telephone number is (571) 272-1420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,370 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks, can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**FRF** 

TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER